



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

API	LICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/693,894 10/28/2003		Teruo Ashikawa	Q78042	4038		
	23373 7	590 11/23/2005	EXAMINER				
	SUGHRUE M	IION, PLLC LVANIA AVENUE, N.W	1	CHEN, 1	CHEN, TIANJIE		
	SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.V	'·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2652			

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/693,89	14	ASHIKAWA, TERUO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tianjie Ch		2656				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 November 2	005.					
•	·	·						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1,2 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) 1,2 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attech	etc)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0.452)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	U-192)			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2656

Final rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lun (JP 7-18086) in view of Endo et al (EP 0 420 564 A2) and Kawatsu (US 6,025,286).

Claims 1 and 2, Lun shows a tape cassette including: upper half case 30 and lower half cases 31 of which a cassette case is composed; a reel 35 with a magnetic tape 34 wound thereon being rotatably stored in the cassette case; a pressure mechanism 55 for pressing elastically the central upper portion of the reel, the pressure mechanism being mounted on the upper half case 30; a center pin 39 being disposed on the reel central upper portion; and flat plate portion 72 contacted by the center pin, the flat plate portion 72 being disposed on the pressure mechanism; wherein the center pin is molded mainly of polyacetal-system synthetic resin (Column 6, lines 29-30), the flat plate portion is molded mainly of polybutylene-system or polyethylene-system synthetic resin (Column 6, lines 31-37).

Lun fails to show that inorganic powder is added to at least one of these two kinds of synthetic resin.

Endo shows a polyacetal resin, for anti-friction purpose inorganic powder with 0.5-30 percent is added (p.4, lines 28-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2656

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to add 0.5-30 percent of inorganic powder in polyacetal resin for anti-friction purpose.

Endo shows that the inorganic powder may be one from the group of calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate, talc, silica kaolin etc.; although does not explicitly include titanium oxide, it has been pointed out that it is not limited to these mentioned (P.4, lines 28-31) materials in the list.

Kawatsu et al shows a list as the powder for improving friction property, the list includes clay mica, titanium oxide, calcium carbonate, kaolin, talc or wet or dry silica.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to include titanium oxide as an alternative for improving friction property.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - Applicant argues that there is no teaching in either Kawatsu or Endo regarding
 the wear of components or the generation of resin powder when component is
 rotated at high speed.
 - Examiner's position: (1) In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features recited above) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The

features Applicant argued have not been recited in claims. (2) Endo and Kawatsu shows that adding the additives would reduce friction, it obviously reduces wear.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2656

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER